

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,653	02/23/2004	Jack Bitterly	650003.14769	2690
7590 11/29/2007 SoCal IP Law Group LLP 310 N Westlake Blvd Suite 120			EXAMINER  MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA	
Westlake Village, CA 91362			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/785,653	BITTERLY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
<u>.</u>	Virginia Manoharan	1797		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_	vith the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	(10 05T TO EVENE - 1	10111110101		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 16(a). In no event, however, may a fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2007	i .		
<u></u>	action is non-final.	and the second of the second of the second		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		tters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are pending in the applic				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		••		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	on is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·	n received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	t received.		
	:			
the state of the s		and the second of the second		
Attachment(s)	,			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application		

10/785,653 Art Unit: 1797

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a). The claimed language "the shells about a common axis" in claim 20 is ambiguous.
- b). Claim 19 does not differ substantially with claim 20 as far as the method steps are concerned.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior ant are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lichtenthaeler (1,819,517) in view of Schnitzer (3,904,122) and Hervert (2,995,612).

The above references to Lichtenthaeler and Schnitzer are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at page 4, first and second full paragraph of the previous Office Action. To incorporate to Lichtenthaeler's process the known method

10/785,653 Art Unit: 1797

"wherein the rotation of the concentric shells is at a angular velocity sufficient for any liquid on the inner surface to form a film along the inner surface due to g forces on the liquid generated by the rotation of the shells" in claim 19 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art as suggested by Hervert, noting e.g., col. 4; lines 1-22. See also claim 20.

Applicants' arguments filed September 14, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument that "Lichtenthaeler..... is not a boiler-condenser; it is a Heating System for Liquids". The liquid moves in a circle because it is pumped between cylinders..."; and further the argument that "Even though Schnitzer also rotates plates, the plates are not concentric shells" are not persuasive of patentability because of the following reasons:

Contrary to applicants' assertion, page 1, lines 1-16 discloses that

This invention relates to heating systems for use in vaporization processes such as the distillation of liquids, and more particuthe distillation of liquids, and more particu-larly to continuously operating systems em-8 ploying tubes through which the liquid to be heated is forced at high velocity. In one of its aspects, the invention consists in a heat-ing system in which heat is generated by surface combustion and utilized as radiant 10 energy to raise the temperature of liquid circulated in tubes.

An important application of the invention is to the distillation of petroleum or the like and the system of my invention is herein dis-15 closed as constructed and arranged for use in this connection.

"distillation. An artisan would appreciates that "distillation" would necessarily includes a combination of boiler and condenser. Moreover, the argued additional feature of "The

Art Unit: 1797

liquid moves in a circle because it is pumped between cylinders" although not required by the claims, is not excluded therefrom. Furthermore, while Schnitzer's plates are not concentric shells as argued, however Lichtenthaeler, not Schnitzer was cited to show that concentric shells are not unobvious subject matter nor is it evidence of criticality in the art. See page 1, lines 70-91 of Lichtenthaeler.

The argument relative to the alternative reference to Moss is moot since this reference has been dropped from the rejection, supra.

Thus, in the absence of anything which may be "new" or "unexpected result." a prima facie case of obviousness has been reasonably established by the art and has not been rebutted. Unexpected results must be established by factual evidence. Mere arguments or conclusory statements in the specification, applicants' amendments, or the Brief do not suffice. In re Linder, 457 F.2d 506, 508, 173 USPQ 356, 358 (CCPA 1872). In re Wood, 582, F.2d 638, 642, 199 USPQ 137, 140 (CCPA 1978).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- a). Bethge discloses a device for evaporation of liquid and condensation of vapor formed.
  - b). Levedahl discloses a heat pipe for low thermal conductivity fluids.

Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

10/785,653 Art Unit: 1797

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-272-1450.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola, can be reached on 571-272-1444.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov: Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).